CHEL

		ES DISTRICT COURT		NEO	RASKA
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA			11 JUN -8	rn	Z: US
UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA,)		OFFIGE OF	THE	CLERK
Plaintiff,		Case No. 8:10CV444			
vs.) UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,)		ORDER ON JOINT STIPULATION OF PARTIAL DISMISSAL			
					De

Before the Court is the parties' Joint Stipulation of Partial Dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a) and (c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Union Pacific's Administrative Procedure Act, Declaratory Judgment Act, and Constitutional Claims concerning the seizure of the railcars identified in the pleadings are dismissed with prejudice, reserving to Union Pacific the right to challenge future applications of the Tariff Act of 1930 on identical grounds in the event of future seizures. This dismissal applies only to the railcars identified in the pleadings. Reserved for decision by the Court are all other issues as set forth by the pleadings and motions currently pending before the Court, including Union Pacific's claims under the Administrative Procedure Act, Declaratory Judgment Act, and Constitutional Claims challenging the Government's application of 19 U.S.C. §1584.
- 2. Counterclaim II asserted by the Government is dismissed with prejudice, with the understanding that by so dismissing, the Government does not concede that the District of Nebraska possesses jurisdiction over the seizures of the railcars at issue. In addition, the Government reserves the right to enforce future applications of the Tariff Act of 1930 against Union Pacific on identical grounds in the event of future seizures.

 $8:10\text{-cv-}00444\text{-JFB-TDT}\quad Doc\ \#\ 71\quad Filed:\ 06/08/11\quad Page\ 2\ of\ 2\ -\ Page\ ID\ \#\ 805$

DATED THIS <u>&</u> day of _	<u>June</u> , 2011.
	BY THE COURT:
	Hororable Joseph F. Bataillon
	Hororable Joseph F. Bataillon